



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/004,281	10/31/2001	Brent McKay	12275-0013/JWE 3808 EXAMINER	
	20995 7	590 03/17/2006			
		ARTENS OLSON &	LASTRA, DANIEL		
	2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3622	
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/004,281	MCKAY, BRENT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL LASTRA	3622	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			roce				
• •		•	7633				
<ul> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a)</li></ul>							
The period for reply expires							
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	).						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

The Applicant argues that Applicant's claimed invention sets the pricing based on an amount or degree of targeted inventory (e.g. places where Ford's ad can run) or the amount or degree of targeted inventory as compared to the total amount of inventory and that Dedrick patent discloses an advertising system where the advertiser associated demographics and acceptable pricing with their own ads. Thus, the Applicant argues that the Dedrick reference discloses an advertiser-determined pricing model that cannot predict media inventory, but rather determines media inventory at the time of a match. The Examiner answers that claim 21 recites "identifying a subset of available inventory based at least in part on the comparison", therefore, Applicant's claimed invention also determine media inventory at the time of a match. The problem with Applicant claim is that the Applicant is claiming "available inventory on the network of plublicly-located dynamic display" and submitted an IDS as proof for having support for said limitation. However, MPEP CFR 37s1.97 teaches "An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed after the period specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided that the information disclosure statement is filed before the mailing date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application". Thefore, because Applicant filed the IDS after a Final rejection, said IDS would not be considered and therefore, said IDS would not overcome the Section 112 rejection of the previous action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER